

# ALGEBRAIC ELEMENTS IN FORMAL POWER SERIES RINGS

BY

TAKASHI HARASE

*Department of Mathematics, Tokyo Institute of Technology,  
Oh-okayama, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 152, Japan*

*Dedicated to the memory of Chiyo Harase*

## ABSTRACT

We obtain a theorem giving a condition for algebraicity of an element in a formal power series field of characteristic  $p > 0$ . Using it many results can be proved, for example, the "theorem of the diagonal" of Furstenberg is deduced as an easy corollary.

## Introduction

Let  $p$  be a prime number and  $q$  be some power of  $p$ . If  $k$  is a field of characteristic  $p$ ,  $k[[\mathbf{x}]]$  will denote the formal power series ring with coefficients in  $k$  and indeterminates  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$ .  $k((\mathbf{x}))$  will denote the quotient (or fraction) field of  $k[[\mathbf{x}]]$ . We consider the elements in  $k((\mathbf{x}))$  which are algebraic over  $k(\mathbf{x})$ . About those elements there are several well-known results. The first is the following theorem of Christol et al. in [1].

**THEOREM 1.** *If  $k$  is a finite field of  $q$  elements, then an element  $f$  in  $k[[x]]$  is algebraic over  $k(x)$  if and only if  $f$  is  $q$ -recognizable.*

But in fact they proved the following:

**THEOREM 1'.** *An element  $f$  in  $k((x))$  is algebraic over  $k(x)$  if and only if  $f$  is contained in an  $A$ -stable finite subset of  $k((x))$ .*

('A-stable' will be defined in Section 1, Definition 2.)

The second result concerns diagonal map  $D$ . Let  $t$  be an indeterminate over  $k$ . Then  $D$  is a (infinite)  $k$ -linear map from  $k[[\mathbf{x}]]$  to  $k[[t]]$  defined by

$$D(x_1^{n_1}, \dots, x_m^{n_m}) = t^n \quad (\text{if } n_1 = \dots = n_m = n) \quad \text{or } 0 \quad (\text{otherwise}).$$

The following famous theorem was proved by Furstenberg [4] in the rational case and, in general, more recently by Deligne [2]:

**THEOREM 2.** *If an element  $f$  in  $k[[\mathbf{x}]]$  is algebraic over  $k(\mathbf{x})$ , then  $D(f)$  is also algebraic over  $k(t)$ .*

The third are the problems concerning the products of Hadamard, Hurwitz and Lamperti (cf. Fliess [3], Furstenberg [4] and Section 2).

In this paper we generalize Theorem 1' and prove:

**THEOREM 0.** *Let  $k$  be a perfect field of characteristic  $p > 0$ , and  $f$  be an element in  $k((\mathbf{x}))$ . Then  $f$  is algebraic over  $k(\mathbf{x})$  if and only if  $f$  is contained in an  $A$ -stable finite  $k$ -vector subspace of  $k((\mathbf{x}))$ .*

This Theorem 0 is 'fundamental' for formal power series fields of characteristic  $p > 0$ . Using Theorem 0, we can prove all known results mentioned above, and much more. For example, we deduce Theorem 2 easily as Corollary 1 of the Theorem, and as Corollary 2 we generalize Theorem A in [4], that is, Hadamard products of algebraic elements in  $k[[\mathbf{x}]]$  are also algebraic. Further, in Section 2 we generalize the Corollary 2 and prove that Lamperti products of algebraic elements in  $k[[\mathbf{x}]]$  are also algebraic. Though this is proved for a single invariant  $x$ , it is clear that the method of the proof is applicable for sequences of several indeterminates  $\mathbf{x}$ .

### Section 1

Let  $k$  be a field of characteristic  $p > 0$  and  $q$  some power of  $p$ .

**NOTATION.** In general we denote by  $\mathbf{n}$  an integer vector  $(n_1, \dots, n_m)$ , that is, a vector of dimension  $m$  with integer coordinates. For integer vector  $\mathbf{n}$ ,  $|\mathbf{n}|$  denotes  $\max_{1 \leq i \leq m} (|n_i|)$ . Let  $R$  be the set of integer vectors  $\mathbf{r} = (r_1, \dots, r_m)$  with conditions  $0 \leq r_i < q$ . For integer vectors  $\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n}'$  we shall write  $\mathbf{n} \leq \mathbf{n}'$  if and only if we have  $n_i \leq n'_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, m$ . We denote  $\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{n}'$  for  $(n_1 + n'_1, \dots, n_m + n'_m)$ , and so for  $\mathbf{r}$  in  $R$ ,  $q\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{r} = (q \cdot n_1 + r_1, \dots, q \cdot n_m + r_m)$ . For an integer vector  $\mathbf{n}$ ,  $a_{\mathbf{n}, \dots, \mathbf{n}_m}$  and  $x_1^{n_1} \cdots x_m^{n_m}$  will be abbreviated to  $a_{\mathbf{n}}$  and  $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{n}}$  respectively. For a polynomial  $f$  in  $k[\mathbf{x}]$ ,  $\text{deg}(f)$  is defined to be  $\max_{1 \leq i \leq m} (\text{deg}_{x_i}(f))$ .

Every element of  $k((\mathbf{x}))$  is expressed (not uniquely) as a quotient  $f/g^q$  of power series  $f, g$  where

$$f = \sum_{0 \leq n} a_n x^n \quad \text{and} \quad g = \sum_{0 \leq n} b_n x^n.$$

Here  $\mathbf{0}$  is the integer vector  $(0, \dots, 0)$ , and  $a_n, b_n$  are elements of  $k$ .

We set  $K = k(\langle \mathbf{x} \rangle) = Q(k[[\mathbf{x}]])$ , and denote by  $H$  the algebraic closure of  $k(\mathbf{x})$  in  $K$ . As is well known,  $H$  is the fraction field of the henselian ring  $k\langle \langle \mathbf{x} \rangle \rangle$  ( $H = Q(k\langle \langle \mathbf{x} \rangle \rangle)$ ) cf. [5].

**DEFINITION 1.** Let  $k$  be a perfect field. For each element  $r$  in  $R$  we define  $A_r$ , the (infinite) additive endomorphism of  $k[[\mathbf{x}]]$ , by

$$A_r(f) = \sum_{0 \leq n} (a_{q_n+r})^{1/q} x^n.$$

$A_r$  can be uniquely extended to the (infinite) additive endomorphism of  $k(\langle \mathbf{x} \rangle)$  by

$$A_r(f/g^q) = (1/g)A_r(f).$$

**REMARK.** The endomorphisms  $A_r$  satisfy the following equalities for elements  $f, g$  in  $k(\langle \mathbf{x} \rangle)$ :

- (a)  $A_r(g \cdot f^q) = A_r(g) \cdot f$ ,
- (b)  $f = \sum_r x^r [A_r(f)]^q$ .

**DEFINITION 2.** Let  $k$  be a perfect field. We shall say that a subset  $M$  in  $k(\langle \mathbf{x} \rangle)$  is  $A$ -stable if, for each element  $r$  in  $R$ ,  $M$  contains  $A_r(f)$  with  $f$ .

'Theorem 0' in the introduction is contained in the following and this is a generalization of Theorem 1 in [1].

**THEOREM 0'.** *The following conditions are equivalent for a perfect field  $k$ :*

- (a)  $f$  is contained in  $H$ .
- (b)  $f$  is contained in an  $A$ -stable  $k(\mathbf{x})$ -finite submodule  $M$  of  $K$ .
- (c)  $f$  is contained in an  $A$ -stable  $k$ -finite subspace  $V$  of  $K$ .

**PROOF.** (c) $\Rightarrow$ (b): Let  $f_1, \dots, f_m$  be the basis of  $V$ . Denoting by  $M$  the  $k(\mathbf{x})$ -submodule of  $k(\langle \mathbf{x} \rangle)$  generated by  $f_i$ , we prove that  $M$  is  $A$ -stable. Let  $g = c_1 f_1 + \dots + c_m f_m$  be an element of  $M$ . The coefficients  $c_i$  are elements of  $k(\mathbf{x})$  and they are quotients of elements  $a_i, b_i$  in  $k[\mathbf{x}]$ . For the sake of simplicity we write  $a, b, c, f$  for  $a_i, b_i, c_i, f_i$ . Then it is sufficient to prove that  $A_r((a/b)f)$  is contained in  $M$ .

Let  $N = \max(\deg(a), \deg(b))$ ; then  $\deg(a \cdot b^{q-1}) \leq qN$ , and we can write, with elements  $a_{s,n}$  in  $k$ ,

$$a \cdot b^{q-1} = \sum'_{s, \mathbf{n}} a_{s, \mathbf{n}} \mathbf{x}^s \cdot \mathbf{x}^{q\mathbf{n}}$$

where the summation is over  $s$  in  $R$  and  $|\mathbf{n}| \leq N$ . Using this notation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_r(cf) &= A_r((a/b)f) = A_r((ab^{q-1})/b^q)f) \\ &= \sum'_{s, \mathbf{n}} ((a_{s, \mathbf{n}})^{1/q}/b)\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{n}}A_r(\mathbf{x}^s f). \end{aligned}$$

Now by the following Lemma,  $A_r(\mathbf{x}^s f) = \mathbf{x}^e \cdot A_{s'}(f)$  ( $s + s' = q \cdot e + r$ ). And they are contained in  $M$ , therefore  $A_r(cf)$  is contained in  $M$ .

LEMMA 1. *Let  $s'$  be an element of  $R$  and  $\mathbf{n}$  be an integer vector, then for  $g = \mathbf{x}^{q\mathbf{n} + s'}$ ,  $A_r(\mathbf{x}^s g) = (d \cdot \mathbf{x}^e) \cdot A_{s'}(g)$  where  $s' + s = qe + r'$  and  $d = 1$  (if  $r = r'$ ) or 0 (otherwise).*

PROOF. We have  $\mathbf{x}^s g = \mathbf{x}^{q\mathbf{n} + (s' + s)} = \mathbf{x}^{q(\mathbf{n} + e) + r'}$ . If  $r \neq r'$  then clearly  $A_r(\mathbf{x}^s g) = 0$ , and if  $r = r'$  then  $A_r(\mathbf{x}^s g) = \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{n} + e} = A_{s'}(g)\mathbf{x}^e$ .

The following two arguments are dependent on [1], Sections 6, 7 but the meaning of the symbols is slightly different.

(a)  $\Rightarrow$  (c) (cf. [1], Sections 6, 7): Let  $f$  be an element of  $H$ . Then  $f^{q^i}$  ( $0 \leq i$ ) generate a finite  $k(\mathbf{x})$  submodule of  $k((\mathbf{x}))$ ; so  $f$  satisfies an equation

$$\sum_{i=0}^u a_i \cdot f^{q^i} = 0 \quad (u \text{ a positive integer})$$

with  $a_i$  in  $k[\mathbf{x}]$ .

CLAIM. We may assume  $a_0 \neq 0$ .

PROOF OF CLAIM. If  $a_0 = 0$  then we have

$$\sum_{i=j}^u a_i \cdot f^{q^i} = 0$$

with  $a_j \neq 0$ . As

$$a_j = \sum_r \mathbf{x}^r \cdot [A_r(a_j)]^q \neq 0$$

there is an element  $l$  in  $R$  such that  $A_l(a_j) \neq 0$ . Now

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &= A_l \left( \sum_{i=j}^u a_i \cdot f^{q^i} \right) \\
 &= \sum_{i=j}^u A_l(a_i) \cdot f^{q^{i-1}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

By denoting  $a'_i = A_l(a_{i+1})$  ( $j - 1 \leq i \leq s - 1$ ) we have

$$\sum_{i=j-1}^{u-1} a'_i \cdot f^{q^i} = 0 \quad (a'_{j-1} \neq 0).$$

After finite repetition we get the form with  $a_0 \neq 0$ .

Let  $g = f/a_0$ , then by setting  $b_i = -a_i \cdot a_0^{q^i-2}$  it clearly follows that

$$g = \sum_{i=1}^u b_i g^{q^i}.$$

Let  $L = \max(\deg(a_0), \deg(b_i))$  and  $V$  be the  $k$ -vector subspace of  $k((x))$  spanned by  $x^s \cdot g^{q^i}$  with  $|s| \leq L$  and  $i \leq u$ . Then clearly  $V$  is finite,  $A$ -stable and contains  $f$ .

(b) $\Rightarrow$ (a) (cf. [1], Section 6): Let  $M$  be a finite  $k(\mathbf{x})$ -submodule of  $K$ . Let  $M'$  be the  $k(\mathbf{x})$ -submodule of  $K$  generated by the  $q$ -th power of elements of  $M$ . Then clearly we have  $\dim_{k(\mathbf{x})}(M') \leq \dim_{k(\mathbf{x})}(M)$ . On the other hand, for every element  $f$  in  $M$ ,  $A_r(f)$  is contained in  $M$ . As  $M'$  contains  $[A_r(f)]^q$ , it also contains  $f$ , because by Remark (a)

$$f = \sum_r \mathbf{x}^r [A_r(f)]^q.$$

As we find that  $M'$  contains  $M$  and they have the same finite dimension, we have  $M = M'$ . For every element  $f$  in  $M$ ,  $M$  contains  $f^{q^i}$ , so that  $f$  is algebraic over  $k(\mathbf{x})$ . q.e.d.

Let  $k'$  be a subfield of the perfect field  $k$ , and  $k$  be algebraic over  $k'$ . Then it is clear that an element  $f$  in  $k'((\mathbf{x}))$  is algebraic over  $k'(\mathbf{x})$  if and only if  $f$  is contained in  $H$ . So we may eliminate the perfectness assumption of  $k$ .

As previously mentioned,  $D$  denotes the diagonal map from  $k((\mathbf{x}))$  to  $k((t))$ .

**COROLLARY 1** (Theorem of Furstenberg and Deligne, cf. [2], [4]). *If an element  $f$  in  $k[[\mathbf{x}]]$  is algebraic over  $k(\mathbf{x})$ , then  $D(f)$  is algebraic over  $k(t)$ .*

**PROOF.**  $f$  is contained in an  $A$ -stable finite  $k$ -subspace  $M$  in  $K$ , and  $D(M)$

is also a finite  $k$ -space in  $k((t))$ . Setting  $e = (1, \dots, 1)$  we have  $A_r(D(f)) = D(A_{r,e}(f))$ , so  $D(M)$  is also  $A$ -stable.

Let  $f, g$  be elements in  $K$ ; then  $f * g$  is defined by

$$f * g = \sum_{0 \leq n} a_n \cdot b_n \cdot x^n$$

(Hadamard product, cf. Section 2) where

$$f = \sum_{0 \leq n} a_n x^n \quad \text{and} \quad g = \sum_{0 \leq n} b_n x^n.$$

Then we have a generalization of Theorem A in [3] as:

**COROLLARY 2.** *Let  $f, g$  be elements of  $k[[x]]$  and algebraic over  $k(x)$ . Then  $f * g$  is also algebraic.*

**PROOF.** Let  $V$  be an  $A$ -stable finite  $k$ -subspace containing  $f$  and  $g$ . If  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  form the basis of  $V$ , then  $f_i * f_j$  generate an  $A$ -stable finite  $k$ -vector subspace which contains  $f * g$ .

### Section 2

Let  $f, g$  be elements of  $k[[x]]$ . The following pairings of  $k[[x]]$  are known as the Hadamard product and Hurwitz product, respectively:

$$f * g = \sum_{0 \leq n} a_n b_n x^n,$$

$$f(H)g = \sum_{0 \leq n} \left( \sum_{k=0}^n {}_n C_k a_k b_{n-k} \right) x^n,$$

where

$$f = \sum_{0 \leq n} a_n x^n, \quad g = \sum_{0 \leq n} b_n x^n,$$

and

$${}_n C_k = n! / [(n - k)! k!].$$

Lamperti generalized those products by

$$f(L)g = \sum_{0 \leq n} c_n x^n,$$

where

$$c_n = \sum_{i+j+k=n} \frac{n!}{i! j! k!} a^i b^j c^k a_{i+k} b_{j+k}$$

and  $a, b$  and  $c$  are elements in  $k$ .

The cases of Hadamard and Hurwitz are obtained from the Lamperti product, respectively, by setting  $a = b = 0, c = 1$  and  $a = b = 1, c = 0$ . If  $f$  and  $g$  are algebraic and  $f$  or  $g$  is rational (i.e. contained in  $k(x)$ ), then it is known that  $f(L)g$  is algebraic for characteristic  $p \geq 0$  (cf. [3]).

By using Theorem 0 we prove:

**COROLLARY 3.** *Let  $k$  be a field of characteristic  $p > 0$ . If  $f, g$  are elements of  $k[[x]]$  and algebraic over  $k(x)$ , then  $f(L)g$  is also algebraic.*

**PROOF.** We can assume without loss of generality that  $k$  is perfect. As the pairing  $(L)$  is bilinear, it is sufficient to prove the following proposition.

**PROPOSITION.** *Let  ${}_r C_{s,t} = (r!)/[s! t! (r - s - t)!]$ . Then*

$$A_r(f(L)g) = \sum_{0 \leq s, t \leq s+t \leq r} {}_r C_{s,t} a^{s/q} b^{t/q} c^{(r-s-t)/q} \cdot (A_{r-t}(f)(L)A_{r-s}(g)).$$

The following lemma is necessary for the proof of the Proposition.

**LEMMA 2.** *In the field of characteristic  $p > 0$ , it follows that*

$$\begin{aligned} {}_n C_{m,l} &= {}_r C_{s,t} \cdot {}_n C_{m',l'} \quad (\text{if } n = qn' + r, m = qm' + s, l = ql' + t, \\ &\quad 0 \leq s + t \leq r, 0 \leq m' + l' \leq n'), \\ &= 0 \quad (\text{otherwise}). \end{aligned}$$

**PROOF OF LEMMA 2.** Now,  ${}_n C_{m,l}$  is the coefficient of  $X^m Y^l$  in the expansion of  $(1 + X + Y)^{qn'+r}$ . But we have in our context

$$(1 + X + Y)^{qn'+r} = (1 + X + Y)^r (1 + X^q + Y^q)^{n'}.$$

**PROOF OF THE PROPOSITION.** By setting  $G(n; i, j) = a^i b^j c^{n-i-j}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(L)g &= \sum_{i+j+k=n} \frac{n!}{i! j! k!} a^i b^j c^k a_{i+k} b_{j+k} x^n \\ &= \sum_{C(n,n-m,n-l)} {}_n C_{n-m,n-l} a^{n-m} b^{n-l} c^{l+m-n} a_l b_m x^n \\ &= \sum_{C(n,n-m,n-l)} {}_n C_{n-m,n-l} G(n; n-m, n-l) a_l b_m x^n \\ &= (*), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\sum_{C(n,m,l)}$  denotes summation over integers with  $0 \leq l, m \leq l + m \leq n$ .

By Lemma 2 and using substitutions  $n = qn' + r$ ,  $n - m = qm' + s$ ,  $n - l = ql' + t$ ,  $n' - m' = m''$  and  $n' - l' = l''$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (*) &= \sum_{C(r,s,t)} {}_r C_{s,t} \sum_{C(n',m',l')} {}_{n'} C_{m',l'} G(qn' + r; qm' + s, ql' + t) \\
 &\quad \cdot a_{q(n'-l')+(r-t)} b_{q(n'-m')+(r-s)} x^{qn'+r} \\
 &= \sum_{C(r,s,t)} {}_r C_{s,t} G(r; s, t) \sum_{C(n',n'-m'',n'-l'')} {}_{n'} C_{n'-m'',n'-l''} \\
 &\quad \cdot G(n', n' - m'', n' - l'')^a a_{ql''+(r-t)} b_{qm''+(r-s)} x^{qn'+r}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now it is clear that

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_r(f(L)g) &= \sum_{s,t} {}_r C_{s,t} G(r; s, t)^{1/q} \sum_{C(n',n'-m'',n'-l'')} {}_{n'} C_{n'-m'',n'-l''} \\
 &\quad \cdot G(n', n' - m'', n' - l'') (a_{ql''+(r-t)})^{1/q} (b_{qm''+(r-s)})^{1/q} x^{n'} \\
 &= \sum_{s,t} {}_r C_{s,t} G(r; s, t)^{1/q} \cdot (A_{r-t}(f)(L)A_{r-s}(g)). \qquad \text{q.e.d.}
 \end{aligned}$$

REFERENCES

1. G. Christol, T. Kamae, M. Mendes-France et G. Rauzy, *Suites algébriques, automates et substitutions*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **108** (1980), 401-419.
2. P. Deligne, *Integration sur un cycle évanescant*, Invent. Math. **76** (1983), 129-143.
3. M. Fließ, *Sur divers produits de séries formelles*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **102** (1974), 181-191.
4. H. Furstenberg, *Algebraic functions over finite fields*, J. Algebra **7** (1967), 271-277.
5. H. Kurke, G. Pfister und M. Roczen, *Henselsche Ring und algebraische Geometrie*, VEB Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften, Berlin, 1975.
6. M. Mendes-France and A. J. Van der Poorten, *Automata and the arithmetic of formal power series*, Acta Arithmetica **46** (1986), 211-214.